Amicus Briefs Filed by the American College of Tax Counsel

| Case Name | <u>Court</u> | Date | <u>Issue</u> | Counsel of Record | <u>Outcome</u> |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|---|--|--|
| In re Grand Jury | U.S. Supreme Court | 11/23/22 | Scope of attorney-client privilege with respect to tax advice | Hashim Mooppan, Jones Day | Oral argument on 1/9/2023. Writ of certiorari dismissed on 1/23/23 as improvidently granted. |
| Zilka v. Tax Review Board of the City of Philadelphia | Supreme Court of Pennsylvania | 9/16/2022 | Whether Delaware taxes can be credited against the Philadelphia Wage Tax liability. | Joan Arnold, Troutman Pepper | Oral argument to be heard on 3/7/2023. |
| Bittner v. United States | U.S. Supreme Court | 8/24/2022 | Whether penalty for non- willful failure to file FBAR apply per form or per account. | Caroline Ciraolo, Kostelanetz & Fink | Decision for taxpayer 2/28/2023. |
| Bittner v. United States | U.S. Supreme Court | 4/1/2022 | Certiorari petition regarding multiple penalties for non- willful failure to file FBAR | Caroline Ciraolo, Kostelanetz & Fink | Petition granted on 6/21/22. |
| VAS Holdings & Investments LLC v. Commissioner of Revenue | Mass. Supreme Ct | 12/15/2021 | Ability of state to tax gain on sale of assets held by LLC | Richard L. Jones, Sullivan & Worcester | On 6/16/2022, the Massachusetts Supreme Court ruled that while there was no constitutional prohibition on the tax, state law did not authorize the imposition of the tax. |
| In re Grand Jury | Ninth Circuit | 11/3/2021 | Scope of attorney-client privilege with respect to tax advice | Jenny Johnson Ware, McDermott Will & Emery | Court revised its opinion to include important clarification regarding attorney-client privilege. |

Dated 2-28-23

| <u>Case Name</u> | <u>Court</u> | Date | Issue | Counsel of Record | <u>Outcome</u> |
|---|-----------------------|------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Taylor Lohmeyer Law Firm v. United States | U.S. Supreme Court | 6/16/2021 | Cert petition regarding disclosure of law firm client list pursuant to John Doe summons | Lawrence Hill, Steptoe & Johnson | Certiorari not granted |
| Buck vs. Utah State Tax Commission | Utah Supreme Ct | 12/9/2020 | Domicile of taxpayer under irrebuttable presumption | Steven Young, Holland & Hart | Decision in favor of taxpayer. |
| CIC Services v. Internal Revenue Service | U.S. Supreme Court | 07/22/2020 | Application of Anti-Injunction Act | David Foster, Skadden | Decision in favor of taxpayer. |
| McLane v. Internal Revenue Service | Fourth Circuit | 06/29/2020 | Ability of Tax Court to make a refund determination | Frank Agostino Agostino & Associates | Decision in favor of government. |
| Taylor Lohmeyer Law Firm v. United States | Fifth Circuit | 06/15/2020 | Disclosure of law firm client list pursuant to John Doe summons | Lawrence Hill, Winston & Strawn | Decision in favor of government. |
| CIC Services v. Internal Revenue Service | U.S. Supreme Court | 02/14/2020 | Certiorari petition regarding application of Anti-Injunction Act | David Foster, Skadden | Certiorari granted. |
| United States v. Boyd | Ninth Circuit | 11/15/2019 | Multiple penalties for non- willful failure to file FBAR | Caroline Ciraolo, Kostelanetz & Fink | Decision in favor of taxpayer. |
| Rodriquez v. FDIC | U.S. Supreme Court | 05/13/2019 | Certiorari petition regarding ownership of tax refund in bankruptcy; application of <i>Bob Richards</i> rule. | Peter Connors, Orrick | Certiorari granted. |

Dated 2-28-23

| <u>Case Name</u> | <u>Court</u> | Date | <u>Issue</u> | Counsel of Record | <u>Outcome</u> |
|---|------------------------------|------------|--|---|---|
| North Carolina v. Kaestner Family Trust | U.S. Supreme Court | 03/25/2019 | Ability of North Carolina to tax non-resident beneficiaries of trust | Wells Hall, Nelson Mullins | Decision in favor of taxpayers. |
| Groves v. United States | Seventh Circuit | 09/14/2018 | Application of tax penalty under Section 6700 | Armando Gomez, Skadden | Interlocutory appeal denied; case remanded for further proceedings in district court. |
| Haynes v United States | Fifth Circuit | 11/27/2017 | Reasonable cause defense to penalty for failure to timely, electronically file tax return | Peter Connors, Orrick | Remanded to district court. |
| Marinello v. United States | U.S. Supreme Court | 09/17/2017 | Application of residual clause of Section 7212(a) | Jenny Johnson, Johnson Moore | Decision in favor of taxpayer. |
| Marinello v. United States | U.S. Supreme Court | 04/21/2017 | Certiorari petition regarding residual clause of Section 7212(a) | Jenny Johnson, Johnson Moore | Certiorari granted. |
| Sonoco Products v. State of Michigan | U.S. Supreme Court | 12/22/2016 | Certiorari petition regarding retroactive tax legislation and application of the Due Process Clause | Stewart Weintraub, Chamberlain Hrdlicka | Certiorari not granted. |
| Dot Foods v. State of Washington | U.S. Supreme Court | 10/05/2016 | Certiorari petition regarding retroactive tax legislation and the application of the Due Process Clause | Peter Faber, McDermott | Certiorari not granted. |
| Little Italy Oceanside | Eastern District Michigan | 05/01/2015 | Refund of money seized pursuant to a tax lien | Mathew Lund, Pepper Hamilton | Decision in favor of government. |

Dated 2-28-23

| <u>Case Name</u> | <u>Court</u> | Date | <u>Issue</u> | Counsel of Record | <u>Outcome</u> |
|--|-----------------------|------------|---|---|---|
| Investments v. United States | | | | | |
| BASR Partnership v. United States | Fourth Circuit | 07/29/2014 | Statute of limitations bypass on fraudulent activity of third party | Paula Junghans, Zuckerman Spaeder | Decision in favor of taxpayer. |
| Bobrow v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue | U.S. Tax Court | 03/20/2014 | Validity of IRS position on 60- day rollover rule for retirement plan investments in light of IRS publication that allowed broader interpretation | Kenneth Gideon, Skadden | Stipulated decision was issued in favor of taxpayer and IRS issued Announcement 2014- 15, stating that it would not enforce the new rule on IRA rollovers until January 1, 2015. |
| Home Concrete v. United States | U.S. Supreme Court | 12/22/2011 | Validity of retroactive regulations regarding extended statute of limitations | Clifford Sloan, Skadden | Decision in favor of taxpayer. |